

Advocacy/Legislative Subcommittee

This document is the process for deciding when to provide City Council support on legislation/legislative efforts. This does not apply to individual City Councilors providing their individual support.

Goals:

- Clear understanding of how advocacy/legislation asks of City Council are decided.
- Ensure we meet our Golden Vision 2030 values in decisions.
- Remain nonpartisan.
- Create time and space for deliberate discussion knowing quick decisions are sometimes needed.

Groups with appointees: The appointee is given the ability to make decisions on behalf of Golden, thinking about what is best for Golden and the surrounding area overall. If they are unsure about a decision, they can email it to the advocacy/legislative subcommittee.

Groups without appointees: Any asks without an appointee can be emailed to the advocacy/legislative subcommittee.

How urgency affects decisions points:

- Urgent: Decisions made by subcommittee; anything controversial has final say by Mayor
- Non-urgent: Decisions made by subcommittee; anything controversial has final say by Council at regular meeting

Advocacy/Legislative Subcommittee Process

- Asks are emailed subcommittee
- Subcommittee has a conference call meeting to discuss ask in relation to Golden Vision 2030 decision matrix (see below image)
- Anything that fits within the matrix is approved by subcommittee and they notify Council and the person asking at the next Council Meeting
- Anything not approved by the subcommittee is denied and they notify Council and the person asking at the next Council Meeting
- Anything that is controversial is taken to Mayor if urgent, or taken to City Council for discussion if not urgent

FIGURE 2
General Decision Making Guidelines

