

Completed by:	Joseph Puhr
Department Head Review:	Dan Hartman

Responsible Department:	Public Works
Fund:	Drainage Fund #4

Projec	ct Name:		Drainage System Replacement									
Brief De	escription:		Replacement of existing drainage infrastructure at or nearing the end of useful service life.									
Fundin	ng Source		Existing Gr Identified			Frant Funds	Funds Identified From Capital Project Fund		Funds Identified From Other Fund (Name) X		No Identified Funding	
New/Additional I	Revenue Ge	nerated	Significan Revenue		_	ing Revenue Irce	One-Time Gene		х			
Legally I	Mandated		Court D	ecision	Regulatory I	Requirement	Pending Le	egal Action	Potential L	egal Action	Normal Liability	
Public Hea	alth & Safety	y	Existing Sev	ere Hazard	Existing Mi	nor Hazard		vere Hazard	Potential M	inor Hazard	No Health or	Safety Issue
Operating E	Budget Impa	ict	Decreases and/or Pers		Operatin Personr	No Impact on ag and/or nel Costs X	Slight Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs		Significant Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs			
Environment a	nd Sustaina	bility	Enhances En and/or Sus		and/or Su	nvironment stainabilty	No Environm	ental Impact		Negative ntal Impact	Diminishes Environment	
% Of Popul	lation Serve	d	100% of Popu by Pr	oject	Majority of	Population ved	Approxima Populatio	tely 50% of on Served		50% of the on Served		
Preservati	on of Facility	у		ty Imminent Project	without	amage Likely Project letion	Project Constitutes Normal Major Maintenance X		Project Constitutes Normal Minor Maintenance		New Facility/ No Safety Issue	
Project Useful Life 20+ Years With Life Maintenand		-	20+ Years With Normal Maintenance X		10-20 Years With Normal Maintenance		5-9 Years with Normal Maintenance		1-4 Years with Normal Maintenance			
Contormity to Strategic Piant & Debaltiment			Critical to ac Established F				Will Not Assist or Will Hinder Accomplishing Plans / Goals		Recommended by City Council		Recommened by Staff	
Recreational o	r Aesthetic \	Value	Major	Value	Modera	te value	No V	'alue	Possibly Detrimental			
Estimated Fro	equency of I	Use	Every		Several Time	es per Week	Several Times per Month		Once per Month or Less			
			Visio	n 2030 Guid	ing Principle	es Priority - (Choose One	Best Fit)				
х	(A) Safe and F Public Infr	Reliable rastructure		(B) Economic		ommunity An			(C) Public Safety		(D) Other	
			Ca	tegory of Ca	pital Expen	ditures - (Ch	oose One Be	st Fit)				
	Land Improvement		Building Improvement		Equipment		Vehicle		Technology	Х	Infrastructure	
					Financial Im	pact - Expe	nses					
	Life-To- Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Project Costs		250,000	200,000	200,000	872,200	899,400	927,800	957,800	1,016,100	1,050,000	1,100,000	7,473,300
On-Going Maintenance												-
Total Project Costs	-	250,000	200,000	200,000	872,200	899,400	927,800	957,800	1,016,100	1,050,000	1,100,000	7,473,300
*Life-to-date includes any act	ual expenditures	s from start of pr	oject through Jul	-								
	Formal Propo	osal		Contractor/E	•	ect Cost Esti ate		State Purchasi	ng Co-Op	Х	Staff Estimate	
					Financial Im	pact - Rever	nues					
	Life-To- Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Revenue Estimate *Life-to-date includes any act												-

Project Name: Drainage System Replacement Tied to acct# 10-9011-91014. The Drainage System Replacement Program replaces the City's existing drainage infrastructure that has reached or is nearing the end of useful service life. Failing to replace these various pipes, manholes, inlets, etc, would result in areas of the City not draining correctly which could potentially result in altered drainage flows with the possible outcome of localized flooding including the potential of flooding prvate properties and structures. Further, failure of a main beneath one of our streets could result in the failure of the street and the formation of a sinkhole. Success for this project would be measured by the replacement and extended life of the drainage infrastructure and continud operation that minimizes the risk of localized flooding. The budget request amount was found by taking the amounts of all Storm assets that the City of Golden is responsible for (pipes, inlets, channels, etc...) then dividing by the useful life for that asset type to determine the amount of each asset that needs to be replaced annually. Those amounts were then multiplied by the average cost to replace to determine funding levels. The types of assets involved in the stormwater system are incredibly varied with respect to lifespan and cost. Adding the calculation for each is not practical. An example calculation is provided below along with the end result for each type of asset. Please provide details for the following: Total number of inlets, manholes, outfalls within the storm system is 1878. The average life for these assets is 60 years. Meaning that in 1. Project Description order to maintain the system 31 of these assets should be replaced annually. 1878/60 = 31.3 or 31. The average cost of this asset type is roughly \$6,121.00. 31 x \$6,121 = \$189,751. 3. Measure of Success annual replacement costs for the other types of storm assets were similarly calculated and the annual replacement costs are outlined below. 4. Description of Revenue Generated Storm Pipe. Annual Replacement Cost \$189,751.00. (if applicable) Storm Channel. Annual Replacement Cost \$42,038. Appurtenances, Annual Replacement Cost \$199,227.00. Drainage System Replacement Annual Cost Total. \$431,016. It should be noted that the replacement costs above do not include ancillary costs associated with this type of construction. For example, the costs of traffic control, asphalt replacement, dewatering, permitting, design, new subsurface utility engineering laws, etc... are all significant additional costs not captured in the amounts outlined above. It is additionally worthy to note that the "Drainage System Replacement Annual Cost Total" line item calculated above does not include Urban Drainage projects. These Urban Drainage partnership projects have historically been significant in cost but have also been proportionally beneficial to the City in the form of large asset replacement and floodplain limit reduction. Note that the funding request in '21 through '23 is lowerd to accomodate the Lena Gulch project. Strategic Action Plan Success Factor(s): Quality Services & Safe, Inclusive and Engaged This drainage infrastructure replacement effort will ensure that the drainage system continues to operate and does not diminish with respect to level of service. Additionally maintaining the drainage infrastructure would diminish the threat of localized flooding and thereby improve the safety of the City. Describe how this project connects to and supports Stragetic Action Plan success factor(s) identified above. List any obstacles for implementation Finance Use Only Date **Received by Finance Department**

Reviewed by City Manager:



Completed by:	Joseph Puhr
Department Head Review:	Dan Hartman

Responsible Department:	Public Works
Fund:	Drainage Fund #5

Projec	ct Name:		Local Drainage Improvements									
Brief Do	escription:		This reque	st is for fundin				gram which is r			additions to the	e Drainage
Fundir	ng Source		_	rant Funds for Project		Grant Funds tified	Funds Identified From Capital Project Fund X		Funds Identified From Other Fund (Name)		No Identified Funding	
New/Additional	Revenue Ge	nerated	_	t Ongoing e Source	_	ing Revenue urce	One-Time	Revenue erated	No New/Additional Revenue Generated			
Legally	Mandated		Court D	ecision	Regulatory I	Requirement	Pending Le	egal Action		egal Action	Normal Liability	
Public Hea	alth & Safety	,	Existing Sev	vere Hazard	Existing M	inor Hazard	Potential Se	vere Hazard		linor Hazard	No Health or	
Operating E	Budget Impa	ct		Operating connel Costs	Operating and/or		Slight Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs		X Significant Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs			
Environment a	ınd Sustaina	bility		nvironment stainability	and/or Su	nvironment stainabilty X	No Environm	nental Impact		Negative ntal Impact	Diminishes Environment	
% Of Popu	lation Serve	d	by Pr	llation Served oject K	Majority of	Population ved		tely 50% of on Served		50% of the on Served		
Preservati	on of Facility	у	Loss of Facili	ty Imminent Project		amage Likely t Project lletion	Project Constitutes Normal Major Maintenance		Project Constitutes Normal Minor Maintenance		New Facility/ No Safety Issue	
Project	Useful Life		20+ Years With Little/No Maintenance		20+ Years With Normal Maintenance X		10-20 Years With Normal Maintenance		5-9 Years with Normal Maintenance		1-4 Years with Normal Maintenance	
	y to Strategic Plans & Department Goals Critical to accomplishing Established Plans / Goals				Established	ccomplishing Plans / Goals	Will Not Assist or Will Hinder Accomplishing Plans / Goals		Recommended by City Council		Recommened by Staff	
Recreational o	r Aesthetic \	/alue	Major	Value	Modera	te value X	No Value		Possibly Detrimental			
Estimated Fr	equency of I	Use		/ Day	Several Tim	es per Week	Several Times per Month		Once per Month or Less			
			Visio	n 2030 Guid	ing Principle	es Priority - (Choose One	Best Fit)				
х	(A) Safe and F Public Infr	Reliable rastructure		(B) Economic		ommunity Am			(C) Public Safety		(D) Other	
			Са	tegory of Ca	pital Expen	ditures - (Ch	oose One Be	st Fit)				
	Land Improvement		Building Improvement		Equipment		Vehicle		Technology	Х	Infrastructure	
					Financial Im	pact - Exper	ıses					
	Life-To- Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Project Costs		100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000
On-Going Maintenance												-
Total Project Costs *Life-to-date includes any act	- tual expenditure	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000
and to date mended any det	expenditures		-,500 a ough Ju			ect Cost Esti						
	Formal Propo	sal		Contractor/E			,	State Purchasi	ng Co-Op	Х	Staff Estimate	
	Life-To-				Financial Im	pact - Rever	nues					
	Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Revenue Estimate												-

^{*}Life-to-date includes any actual revenue generated from start of project through July 2021 and estimates for the remainder of FY 2021

Project Name:	Local Drainage Improvements
Please provide details for the following: 1. Project Description 2. Justification 3. Measure of Success 4. Description of Revenue Generated (if applicable)	The City Drainage System is comprised of storm sewer mains, inlets, ponds, major drainage ways (Tucker Gulch, Kennys Run, Lena Gulch, etc). The purpose of the drainage system is to convey runoff from rain events downhill through the City in a manner that minimizes flooding issues and maximizes water quality. Localized issues with the Drainage System (storm pipes, inlets, drainage ways, etc) are identified regularly. Issues such as low points in the gutter that do not drain or lack of adequate collection via inlets. The Local Drainage Improvement Program addresses these issues through the installation of new infrastructure. For example the addition of pipes and inlets to address an area that does not adequately drain and causes issues such as standing water and icing in the cold months, or the addition of a sidewalk chase to collect and safely convey a roof drain underneath a sidewalk to prevent icing and slip issues. This program adds storm infrastructure which will increase future maintenance needs but does not alter existing maintenance budgets. In some instances, not providing the drainage improvements could increase the maintenance costs of other assets, such as sidewalks or asphalt streets, if the assets are subjected to standing water or ice freeze/thaw conditions.
Strategic Action Plan Success Factor(s):	Quality Services & Safe, Inclusive and Engaged
Describe how this project connects to and supports Stragetic Action Plan success factor(s) identified above.	This drainage infrastructure replacement effort will ensure that the drainage system continues to operate and does not diminish with respect to level of service. Additionally maintaining the drainage infrastructure would diminish the threat of localized flooding and thereby improve the safety of the City.
List any obstacles for implementation	
	Pinance Use Only Date Received by Finance Department Reviewed by City Manager:



Completed by:	Joseph Puhr
Department Head Review:	Dan Hartman

Responsible Department:	Public Works
Fund:	Drainage Fund #8

Projec	ct Name:		Lena Gulch Improvements									
Brief De	escription:		Improvements to Lena Gulch from US of Heritage Rd to C470									
Fundin	ng Source		_	rant Funds for Project		Potential Grant Funds Funds Identified From Capital Project Fund X		Funds Identified From Other Fund (Name)		No Identified Funding		
New/Additional I	Revenue Ge	nerated	Significan Revenue		_	ing Revenue urce		e Revenue erated	No New/Additional Revenue Generated X			
Legally I	Mandated		Court D	ecision	Regulatory I	Requirement	Pending L	egal Action	Potential L	egal Action	Normal Liability	
Public Hea	alth & Safety	,	Existing Sev	vere Hazard	Existing M	inor Hazard		evere Hazard X	Potential M	linor Hazard	No Health or	Safety Issue
Operating E	Budget Impa	ct		Operating onnel Costs	Operatir Personr	No Impact on ng and/or nel Costs X	_	e to Operating sonnel Costs	Significant Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs			
Environment a	nd Sustaina	bility	Enhances En and/or Sus	nvironment stainability	and/or Su	nvironment stainabilty X	No Environm	nental Impact		Negative ntal Impact	Diminishes Environment	
% Of Popul	lation Serve	d	100% of Popu by Pr	oject	Majority of	Population ved		itely 50% of on Served		50% of the on Served		
Preservati	on of Facility	y	without	Loss of Facility Imminent without Project Completion		Additional Damage Likely without Project Constitutes Normal Major Maintenanc X		r Maintenance	Project Constitutes Normal Minor Maintenance		New Facility/ No Safety Issue	
Project	Useful Life		20+ Years With Little/No Maintenance		20+ Years With Normal Maintenance X		10-20 Years With Normal Maintenance		5-9 Years with Normal Maintenance		1-4 Years with Normal Maintenance	
-	onformity to Strategic Plans & Department Critical to accomplishing Established Plans / Goals		Assists in Accomplishing		Will Not Assist or Will Hinder Accomplishing Plans / Goals		Recommended by City Council		Recommened by Staff			
Recreational o	r Aesthetic \	/alue	Major	Value	Modera	Moderate value No Value		Possibly Detrimental				
Estimated Fro	equency of I	Use	Every	-	Several Tim	es per Week	Several Times per Month		Once per Month or Less			
			Visio	o 2030 Guid	ing Principle	s Priority - l	Choose One	Bost Eit)				
х	(A) Safe and F Public Infr	Reliable astructure		(B) Economic	0 Guiding Principles Priority - (Choose One Best Fit) onomic Vitality and Community Amenities (C) Public (D) Other at Improve Quality of Life Safety							
			Ca	togomy of Co	nital Evnan	ditures (Ch	ooso Ono Po	set Eit\				
<u> </u>	Land		Building	ategory of Capital Expenditures - (Choose One Best Fit)							Infrastructure	
	Improvement		Improvement		Equipment		Vehicle		Technology	Х	Jiiiiastructure	
					Financial Im	ıpact - Expei	nses					
	Life-To- Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Project Costs	1,000,000	750,000	750,000								2002	2,500,000
On-Going Maintenance												-
Total Project Costs	1,000,000	750,000	750,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500,000
*Life-to-date includes any act	ual expenditure	s from start of pr	project through July 2021 and estin		nates for the ren	nainder of FY 20	1					
				В	asis for Proj	ect Cost Est	imate					
	Formal Propo	sal		Contractor/E	ngineer Estim	ate		State Purchasi	ing Co-Op	Х	Staff Estimate	
					Financial Im	pact - Revei	nues					
	Life-To- Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Revenue Estimate *Life-to-date includes any act	ual revenue gen	erated from star	t of project throu	igh July 2021 and	d estimates for t	he remainder of	FY 2021					-

Project Name:	Lena Gulch Improvements
	This project has been placed in out years historically. Development of an adjacent project, "Colfax Avenue Complete Street," led to the discovery of some necessary drainage improvements as part of the street corridor project. Specifically the removal of a piped section of Lena Gulch and associated channelization as well as some other area drainage improvements to Lena. The funds indicated above would be the City's portion of the Mile High Flood District's (MHFD, formerly the Urban Drainage Flood Control District) project.
Strategic Action Plan Success Factor(s):	Active, Connected, and Sustainable & Safe, Inclusive and Engaged
	This drainage improvement will primarily benefit sustainability and safety. Sustainability is benefitted is by the improvements to runoff water quality by reduction of erosion along the channel. Safety is benefitted by reducing the footprint of the 1% flood and reducing the threat to people and property.
List any obstacles for implementation	
	Date Received by Finance Department Reviewed by City Manager:



Completed by:	Joe Puhr
Department Head Review:	Dan Hartman

Responsible Department:	Public Works				
Fund:	Drainage Fund #9				

Projec	ct Name:		Kinney Run Flood Mitigation, Downtown Golden									
Brief De	escription:	This project involves an extension of a planned greenway channel being constructed by Molson Coors as part of their major construction project. The project would construct an improved channel for Kinney Run from north of 14th street to 16th Street, greatly reducing the floodplain impacts on the East Downtown area.										
Fundin	ng Source		Existing Gi Identified		Potential Grant Funds Identified		Funds Identified From Capital Project Fund		Funds Identified From Other Fund (Name)		No Identified Funding	
New/Additional I	Revenue Ge	nerated	Significan Revenue		Small Ongoing Revenue One-Time Rev Source Generated X		rated	No New/Additional Revenue Generated				
Legally I	Mandated		Court Decis		Regulatory F	Requirement	Pending Legal Action		Potential Legal Action		Normal Liability X	
Public Hea	alth & Safety	у	Existing Sev	ere Hazard	Existing Minor Hazard Potential Severe Haza			Potential Minor Hazard		No Health or Safety Issue		
Operating Budget Impact			Decreases Operating and/or Personnel Costs		Minimal or No Impact on Operating and/or Personnel Costs X		Slight Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs		Significant Increase to Operating and/or Personnel Costs			
Environment and Sustainability			Enhances Environment and/or Sustainability		Benefits Environment and/or Sustainabilty X		No Environmental Impact		Minor or Negative Environmental Impact		Diminishes Environment	
% Of Population Served			100% of Population Served by Project X		Majority of Population Served		Approximately 50% of Population Served		Less than 50% of the Population Served			
		Loss of Facility Imminent without Project Completion		Additional Damage Likely without Project Completion		Project Constitutes Normal Major Maintenance		Project Constitutes Normal Minor Maintenance		New Facility/ No Safety Issue X		
Project Useful Life		20+ Years With Little/No Maintenance		20+ Years With Normal Maintenance X		10-20 Years With Normal Maintenance		5-9 Years with Normal Maintenance		1-4 Years with Normal Maintenance		
Conformity to Strategic Plans & Department Goals		Critical to accomplishing Established Plans / Goals		Assists in Accomplishing Established Plans / Goals X		Will Not Assist or Will Hinder Accomplishing Plans / Goals		Recommended by City Council		Recommened by Staff		
Recreational or Aesthetic Value		Major Value		Moderate value		No Value		Possibly Detrimental				
Estimated Frequency of Use			Every Day X		Several Times per Week		Several Times per Month		Once per Month or Less			
Vision 2030 Guiding Principles Priority - (Choose One Best Fit)												
X (A) Safe and Reliable (B) Economic Vitality and Community Amenities (C) Public Dublic Infrastructure (B) Economic Vitality and Community Amenities (C) Public (D) Other												
			Ca	tegory of Ca	pital Expen	ditures - (Ch	oose One Be	st Fit)				
	Building Improvement		Equipment		Vehicle		Technology	Х	Infrastructure			
					Financial Im	pact - Exper	ıses					
	Life-To- Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Project Costs			1,200,000	2,500,000	3,000,000							6,700,000
On-Going Maintenance												-
Total Project Costs		e from start of	1,200,000	2,500,000	3,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,700,000
Life-to-date includes any actual expenditures from start of project through July 2021 and estimates for the remainder of FY 2021 Basis for Project Cost Estimate												
Formal Proposal Contractor/Engineer Estimate State Purchasing Co-Op Staff Estimate												
	Life-To-				-inancial Im	pact - Rever	nues					
	Date*	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	Total
Revenue Estimate			600,000	1,250,000	1,500,000							3,350,000

^{*}Life-to-date includes any actual revenue generated from start of project through July 2021 and estimates for the remainder of FY 2021

Project Name:	Kinney Run Flood Mitigation, Downtown Golden
Please provide details for the following: 1. Project Description 2. Justification 3. Measure of Success 4. Description of Revenue Generated (if applicable)	In conjunction with the Mile High Flood Control District, this project would reduce flood risk to much of downtown and improve the operations and aesthetics of this major community drainageway. By extending a channel to be constructed by Molson Coors starting in 2021, Golden has the opportunity to greatly benefit downtown properties and encourage responsible redevelopment of the most significant part of downtown for any future change. In addition to Mile High Flood Control Distriuict, the DDA is a potential partner.
Strategic Action Plan Success Factor(s):	Active, Connected, and Sustainable & Safe, Inclusive and Engaged
Describe how this project connects to and supports Stragetic Action Plan success factor(s) identified above.	This drainage improvement will primarily benefit sustainability and safety. Sustainability is benefitted is by the improvements to runoff water quality by reduction of erosion along the channel. Safety is benefitted by reducing the footprint of the 1% flood and reducing the threat to people and property.
List any obstacles for implementation	
	Pinance Use Only Date Received by Finance Department Reviewed by City Manager: