

Golden, CO

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2019



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Community Survey $^{\text{TM}}$ (The NCS $^{\text{TM}}$) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Golden's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Golden's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Broadly, ratings across all three pillars of community livability tended to be positive and similar to the national benchmarks. Within Community Characteristics, general aspects and assessments of the Natural Environment were particularly strong and tended to be higher than elsewhere. Golden residents also gave higher than average ratings to Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment within Governance. Further, Golden residents reported higher rates of participation within Mobility than their peers. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	20	29	3	10	36	0	5	29	2	
General	6	1	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	2	1	
Mobility	3	5	0	2	6	0	3	0	0	
Natural Environment	2	1	0	2	4	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	1	2	2	0	7	0	0	2	0	
Economy	4	3	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	
Recreation and Wellness	3	4	0	3	1	0	1	4	0	
Education and Enrichment	0	6	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	
Community Engagement	1	4	0	2	6	0	0	11	0	

National Benchn	nark
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

The National Community Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	1	93%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%	Recommend Golden	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	1	93%	Services provided by Golden	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	Remain in Golden	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%
General	Place to retire	↓ ↓	1	72%	Services provided by the Federal Government	1	\leftrightarrow	39%	Contacted Golden employees	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	47%
e	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	1	90%								
9	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	1	96%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%								
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	1	92%								
	Overall feeling of safety	Ţ	\leftrightarrow	90%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Crime prevention	1	\leftrightarrow	78%	Did NOT report a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%
Mobility Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%	Fire	· ↔	\leftrightarrow	96%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	\leftrightarrow	↓	22%
					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%				
S					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%				
					Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%				
	Traffic flow	1	\leftrightarrow	50%	Traffic enforcement	1	\leftrightarrow	63%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	\leftrightarrow	1	59%
	Travel by car	1	\leftrightarrow	59%	Street repair	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	Walked or biked instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	83%
billity	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	1	77%	Street cleaning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Used public transportation instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	59%
β	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	89%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	1	77%	,			
	Travel by public transportation	Į į	\leftrightarrow	32%	Snow removal	1	1	82%				
	Overall ease travel	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%				
	Public parking	Į į	\leftrightarrow	41%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	53%				
	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	<u>†</u>	93%	Bus or transit services	1	\leftrightarrow	51%				
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	1	91%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%
ξĪ	Air quality	Ţ	\leftrightarrow	78%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%	Conserved water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	↔	1	91%	Yard waste pick-up	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%	Made home more energy efficient	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%
Na					Drinking water	1	\leftrightarrow	82%				
Ë					Open space	1	↑	80%				
					Natural areas preservation	i	†	75%				
ment	New development in Golden	1	\leftrightarrow	47%	Sewer services	↔	\leftrightarrow	90%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%
	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	1	15%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Did NOT observe a code violation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%
5	Housing options	Ţ	Ţ	29%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%				
₹	Overall built environment	i	\leftrightarrow	69%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%				
Built Environment	Public places	↔	1	87%	Land use, planning and zoning	1	\leftrightarrow	44%				
- H					Code enforcement	<u> </u>	\leftrightarrow	51%				
					Cable television	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Community Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	1	82%	Economic development	1	\leftrightarrow	66%	Economy will have positive impact on income	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	31%
	Shopping opportunities	↓	\leftrightarrow	56%					Purchased goods or services in Golden	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%
Economy	Employment opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	38%					Work in Golden	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	44%
ouc	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	91%								
Ecc	Cost of living	1	1	19%							↔	
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	81%								
	Place to work	1	1	73%								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%								
SS	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	88%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	1	92%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%
<u>l</u>	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	90%	Recreation centers	1	1	83%	Used Golden recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%
Wel	Health care	↓ ↓	\leftrightarrow	57%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	1	83%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	1	95%
and \	Food	1	\leftrightarrow	68%	Health services	1	\leftrightarrow	66%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	1	\leftrightarrow	42%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
cre	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
Re	Preventive health services	1	\leftrightarrow	60%								
	K-12 education		\leftrightarrow	70%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	Used Golden public libraries	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%
nd t	Cultural/arts/music activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Special events	1	1	80%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	1	↓ ↓	25%
tion an thment	Child care/preschool	Ţ	\leftrightarrow	41%					Attended a City-sponsored event	\leftrightarrow		76%
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	1	\leftrightarrow	74%								
EG E	Adult education	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%								
	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%	Sense of community	1	\leftrightarrow	72%
	Opportunities to volunteer	Į į	\leftrightarrow	76%	Overall direction	1	\leftrightarrow	59%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
ŧ	Openness and acceptance	1	\leftrightarrow	61%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	1	71%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	93%
geme	Social events and activities	1	1	76%	Welcoming resident involvement	1	1	69%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	32%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%	Confidence in City government	1	\leftrightarrow	62%	Watched a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	17%
nunity					Acting in the best interest of Golden	1	\leftrightarrow	64%	Volunteered	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	41%
E					Being honest		\leftrightarrow	69%	Participated in a club	1	\leftrightarrow	31%
Co					Treating all residents fairly	1	\leftrightarrow	65%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	25%
									Contacted Golden elected officials	1	↔	25%
									Read or watched local news	\leftrightarrow	↔	77%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$