CALL TO ORDER – BUSINESS MEETING:

Susan Buhr called the meeting to order at 6:30.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

With a motion from Chuck, the agenda was unanimously approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM JANUARY 11, 2010:

Susan pointed out that she recalled Mark Petrovich from Republic Services, commenting at the last meeting that if Council were to approve the Ordinance, they would not be able to operate in Golden because they would have to maintain such a large inventory of bins. She requested that be put in the minutes. With that change, the minutes were unanimously approved. Denise will make the change to the January 11th draft minutes and then post to the website.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Joe Schneckenburger, Golden citizen – Recommended no action, until economy improves. He stated that there is a limited demand for recycling and it’s too expensive to recycle. He thinks we are trying to solve a problem that doesn’t really exist in Golden. Feels that an Ordinance would be a better option than the single hauler.
Melissa Cooley, Waste Mgmt – Distributed a handout, highlighting areas she felt this board would find interesting. She also explained the recycle rewards and the rebate program they offer.

Dave LeClair, Waste Connections – Distributed 2 newspaper articles on their recycle bank program and said he could answer any questions that this Board may have.

Diane Bennett – EDS – Stated that they are a local company, proposal speaks for itself and they want to continue to be a part of Golden.

Mark Petrovich – Republic Services – Their proposal is very detailed and should answer any questions that you may have or that your citizens may have. Local and National company and they own a landfill in Jefferson County.

**STAFF UPDATE:**

- City Council recently passed the resolution to change the roles and responsibilities, as you requested.
- Staff is coordinating with CSM EPICS program to receive free design work for small scale wind installations. Theresa described the program and said that this Board may want to schedule time for this item to consider direction and/or funding.
- Sustainability awards – do we want to do this again in 2010? Board was a unanimous yes.
- The Boards and Commissions dinner is set for February 24th and since that is a night that CSAB meets Theresa asked if we should reschedule to February 23rd or cancel the meeting? Board was unanimous that we should reschedule the meeting. Denise will arrange for a room at the Community Center for February 23rd.
- Council will discuss Waste Recycling proposals at the February 4th Study Session.

**ACTION ITEMS:**

**A. Fundable Projects – Residential Energy Efficient Designs**

The Board reviewed additional details by Gunter. Gunter proposed that this project would have 4 phases and explained them in more detail:

- Study
- Modeling
- Design and Procurement
- Construction

Chuck asked if there was any data from the home that the DOE built with zero energy in Wheat Ridge. Can we find out how effective it is/was? He also asked about the project that was done by Amory Host in Boulder. Steve Stevens has a retro-fitted zero energy home in Golden and would be probably willing to give information.
Scott is still concerned that this project should not be so specific but should be useable for all homes and is still interested in seeing a menu approach. Gunter responded that he is looking at average homes.

Next steps are:
- Peter Ewers will provide a scope of work for Phase 1
- Identify time needed for each phase and a timeline.

Scott stated it will be interesting that when he finds this “average” home – how many are there in Golden and how much will this cost per home? Tom felt we should do “case studies” to use as examples and get information on what has already been done; make use of what has and has not worked.

After more discussion, the Board agreed to have Peter Ewers provide a scope of work and identify a timeline for each phase.

**B. Waste and Recycling Discussion (Karen joined discussion by phone)**

Theresa sent out some additional information by email today, which included a pricing summary of all submitted proposals – most current prices of all 5 bidders; minor changes.

At the last meeting, the Board asked for facility locations, which Theresa also provided in a table format. She noted that Eagle Waste and Waste Management both use Foothills Landfill so they would only be 8 miles from Golden to the Landfill.

The Board had also asked Theresa for national research on PAYT ordinance vs single waste hauler. Theresa provided a memo from Lisa Skumatz and Juri Freeman of SERA - (Pros and Cons for Ordinance option and pay as you throw). In this memorandum, a 3rd option; do an ordinance and also PAYT. Hillary felt that if we could do both an Ordinance and a single hauler – that would be the ideal thing.

Chuck stated that we need to do a good job communicating to the citizens. Have a good educational campaign so people know what to expect. Scott didn’t feel that we can really make a decision unless we look at cost, because all of them have similar programs.

Chuck is not comfortable with recommending a single hauler because he believes in free enterprise and he did not think we had enough information that we could reduce VMT with single hauler. Susan stated that the scope of our charge was to recommend on sustainability alone.

**Straw vote:**
- Hillary – Single Hauler
- Gunter – Single Hauler
- Scott – There is an argument to do nothing, but he would like to see more “options”. From a sustainability perspective – single hauler, but has reservations that this is the best option.
Tom – agrees with Scott, but Single Hauler is the most sustainable option. He does have concerns.
Karen – Single Hauler
Susan – Single Hauler
Chuck – Agrees with Scott opinions.

Scott asked Chuck - Out of the options in front of us – do you agree that a single hauler is the most sustainable option – Chuck said no. Chuck did agree that either one of these options is better than what we have in place now. Hillary suggested that this may be an opportunity for a study to compare single hauler vs multiple haulers; maybe by students at CSM.

Chuck said we could tell Council that we recommend a single hauler and let Council decide who they want based on cost, reputation, ability to perform, etc. Theresa pointed out that we could give Council a list of sustainability criteria that they should look at if they choose to go with single hauler.

Possible Criteria:
- Distance of operation
- Range of services
- Corporate citizenship
- Value added from other environmental practices
- Incentives
- Quality of Service
- Citizen response
- Price

Board decided to recommend a single hauler (with Chuck’s dissention), HOA’s can either opt in or out, but need to prove they have comparable service, Council could consider putting that in an Ordinance (as the contact ends).

BOARD UPDATES:

Chuck
- Sits on the CSM Sustainability Board also and they are going down similar path as this board, such as zero waste events and VMT’s. Education programs are very important.
- JEC are more focused on how to get green employers

ADJOURN:

Meeting was adjourned at 8:45.

NEXT MEETING

Monday, February 8, 6:30 p.m., City Hall Conference Room